



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | • | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/083,211 | 10/19/2001 | Kazuhiro Satoh | 2271/66118 | 6244 |
| 7590 10/05/2005 RICHARD F. JAWORSKI Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MENBERU, BENIYAM | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2626 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 10/05/0005 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | 10/083,211 | SATOH, KAZUHIRO | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Beniyam Menberu | 2626 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>July 8</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□ | Claim(s) 1 and 4-7 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections. | vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the leading(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the leading to the leading to the leading to the leading the drawing to the leading the drawing the leading | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inforn | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-9, filed July 8, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 2, and 3 under U.S. Patent No. 5680158 to Yoshida et al and claims 7, 8, and 9 under U.S. Patent No. 5253940 to Abecassis have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of DE 2922006 A1 to Plehn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5680158 to Yoshida et al in view of DE 2922006 A1 to Plehn.

Regarding claim 1, Yoshida et al disclose a communication device comprising: a keyboard used to input literal information(column 9, lines 23-26., lines 38-40. Figure 1, reference 50), wherein a character allocated to each of keys provided on said keyboard can be changed (column 11, lines 4-17) and the character is allocated to each of said keys according to a predetermined keyboard character layouts and wherein said predetermined keyboard character layout is selected from among a plurality of predetermined keyboard character layouts by a user (column 11, lines 9-20).

Art Unit: 2626

However Yoshida et al does not disclose:

a recording/outputting unit creating image data to be recorded on a label based on the selected keyboard character layout, recording an image corresponding to the created image data on the labels and outputting the label on which the image is recorded.

Plehn discloses a recording/outputting unit creating image data to be recorded on a label based on the selected keyboard character layout, recording an image corresponding to the created image data on the labels and outputting the label on which the image is recorded (see Abstract).

Yoshida et al and Plehn are combinable because they are in the similar problem area of device with keyboard input.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the keyboard layout image creating system of Plehn with the keyboard system of Yoshida et al to implement printing of keyboard layout selected by a user.

The motivation to combine the reference is clear because keyboard can be replaced accordingly as taught by Plehn using the system of Plehn (see Abstract).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5680158 to Yoshida et al in view of DE 2922006 A1 to Plehn further in view of U.S. Patent Application No. US 2002/0174231 A1 to Surloff et al.

Regarding claim 4, Yoshida et al in view of Plehn teach all the limitations of claim

1. However Yoshida et al does not disclose the communication device as claimed in

claim 1, wherein configuration information regarding a configuration of the

Art Unit: 2626

communication device is obtained so that said predetermined keyboard character layout is selected according to said configuration information.

Surloff et al disclose the communication device (page 1, paragraph 18) as claimed in claim 1, wherein configuration information regarding a configuration of the communication device is obtained so that said predetermined keyboard character layout is selected according to said configuration information (page 7, paragraph 74).

Yoshida et al, Plehn, and Surloff et al are combinable because they are in the similar problem area of device with keyboard input.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the keyboard layout selection of Surloff et al with the combined system of Yoshida et al in view of Plehn to implement configuration depending keyboard layout.

The motivation to combine the reference is clear because Surloff et al teaches that Internet access can be simplified with the configurable keyboard (page 7, paragraph 74, lines 1-7).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5680158 to Yoshida et al in view of DE 2922006 A1 to Plehn further in view U.S. Patent No. 5523754 to Eisen et al.

Regarding claim 5, Yoshida et al in view of Plehn teaches all the limitations of claim 1. However Yoshida et al does not disclose the communication device as claimed in claim 1, wherein situation information regarding a nation where the communication

Art Unit: 2626

device is situated is obtained so that said predetermined keyboard character layout is selected according to said situation information.

Eisen et al discloses the communication device (column 2, lines 45-55) wherein situation information regarding a nation where the communication device is situated is obtained so that said predetermined keyboard character layout is selected according to said situation information (column 4, lines 9-20).

Yoshida et al, Plehn, and Eisen et al are combinable because they are in the similar problem area of communication device with keyboard input.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the keyboard configuration of Eisen et al with the system of Yoshida et al in view of Plehn to implement keyboard configuration with respect to the nation where the communication device is located.

The motivation to combine the reference is clear because Eisen et al teaches that a multi-lingual keyboard is needed because of the different languages spoken in countries (column 1, lines 15-27).

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5680158 to Yoshida et al in view of DE 2922006 A1 to Plehn further in view of U.S. Patent Application No. US 2002/0174231 A1 to Surloff et al further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5523754 to Eisen et al.

Regarding claim 6, Yoshida et al in view of Plehn teaches all the limitations of claim 1. However Yoshida et al in view of Plehn does not disclose the communication device as claimed in claim 1, wherein configuration information regarding a

Art Unit: 2626

configuration of the communication device is obtained, and situation information regarding a nation where the communication device is situated is obtained, so that said predetermined keyboard character layout is selected according to at least one of said configuration information and said situation information.

Surloff et al disclose communication device wherein configuration information regarding a configuration of the communication device is obtained (Figure 7, reference step 222;page 4, paragraph 45, lines 4-6; page 7, paragraph 74, lines 7-13).

Eisen et al disclose communication device wherein situation information regarding a nation where the communication device is situated is obtained, so that said predetermined keyboard character layout is selected according to at least one of said configuration information and said situation information (column 4, lines 9-20).

Yoshida et al, Plehn, Surloff et al, and Eisen et al are combinable because they are in the similar problem area of device with keyboard input.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the keyboard configuration of Surloff et al and Eisen et al with the system of Yoshida et al in view of Plehn to implement keyboard layout depending on the system configuration and nation where the communication device is situated.

The motivation to combine the reference is clear because Eisen et al teaches that a multi-lingual keyboard is needed because of the different languages spoken in countries (column 1, lines 15-27) and Surloff et al teaches that Internet access can be simplified with the configurable keyboard (page 7, paragraph 74, lines 1-7).

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5253940 to Abecassis in view of DE 2922006 A1 to Plehn.

Regarding claim 7, Abecassis disclose a communication device (Abecassis discloses a computer but a computer can be used as a communication device (column 1, lines 64-68)) comprising:

a numeric keypad (Figure 1) used to input numeric information, wherein a character allocated to each of keys provided on said numeric keypad can be changed (column 5, lines 20-30, lines 37-42) and the character is allocated to each of said keys according to a predetermined numeric-keypad character layout and wherein said predetermined numeric-keypad character layout is selected among a plurality of predetermined numeric-keypad character layouts by a user (column 5, lines 20-44; Figure 5). However Abecassis does not disclose a recording/outputting: unit creating image data to be recorded on a label based on the selected numeric-keypad character layout, recording an image corresponding to the created image data on the label, and outputting the label on which the image is recorded.

Plehn disclose a recording/outputting: unit creating image data to be recorded on a label based on the selected numeric-keypad character layout, recording an image corresponding to the created image data on the label, and outputting the label on which the image is recorded (see Abstract).

Abecassis and Plehn are combinable because they are in the similar problem area of device with keyboard input.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

skill in the art to combine the keyboard layout image creating system of Plehn with the numerical keyboard system of Abecassis to implement printing of keyboard layout selected by a user.

The motivation to combine the reference is clear because keyboard can be replaced accordingly as taught by Plehn using the system of Plehn (see Abstract).

Other Prior Art Cited

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Patent No. 5880712 to Goldman disclose data inputting device.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2626

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beniyam Menberu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7465. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on (571) 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600. The group receptionist number for TC 2600 is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2626

Page 10

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Beniyam Menberu

BM

10/01/2005

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER